



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,486	03/11/2005	Olaf Joeressen	915-006.073	7159
4955	7590	06/23/2008	EXAMINER	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			LEWIS, ALICIA M	
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			2164	
MONROE, CT 06468				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/527,486	JOERESSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia M. Lewis	2164	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-3,6-8,10-13 and 15 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-8,10-13 and 15 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed February 25, 2008.

Claims 1 and 15 are currently amended, and claims 4, 5, 9, 14 and 16 are canceled.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10-13 and 15 remain pending in this application.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - 1) The phrase "can be" in line 2 of the claim is indefinite. The claim should be amended to recite "wherein the characters of said new word **are** selected".
 - 2) The examiner believes that the word "subject" in the second to last line of the claim should be "subset". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Will (US Patent 6,392,640) in view of Dostie et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0021691 A1) ('Dostie').

With respect to claims 1 and 11-13 and 15, Will teaches:

generating, for browsing, a character subset of said character set, said character subset including words, according to an inference logic, that are consistent with the sequence of letters entered (column 6 lines 54-58);

displaying said character subset on a display of said electronic device, for browsing and selecting the word by the user (column 6 lines 54 – column 7 line 3),

wherein said inference logic is based on a database of words and at least one usage parameter related to each of said words, wherein said usage parameter is stored in said database (Figure 13B, column 13 lines 46-66), and.

wherein said user interface is a roller, and wherein browse commands are issued by rotating the roller around its axis, and wherein select commands are issued by pressing the roller (column 2 lines 27-33).

Will does not teach said character subset including characters from among which, according to inference logic, **the next character** for said word is most probably selected, displaying said character subset for browsing and selecting **the next character**, adapting contents of a database by adding a new word to the database after at least one word has been entered, or wherein generating the character subset with the inference logic comprises identifying a start of an entry of the new word, and inserting, into the character subset, the most probable letters stored in the database of words for starting the new word.

Dostie teaches a method, system and media for entering data in a personal computing device (see abstract), in which he teaches: generating, for browsing a character subset of said character set, said character subset including characters from

among which, according to inference logic, the next character for said word is most probably selected (paragraphs 102-103),

displaying said character subset for browsing and selecting the next character (Figures 3 and 29, paragraphs 102-103),

adapting contents of a database by adding a new word to the database after at least one word has been entered (paragraph 214), and

wherein generating the character subset with the inference logic comprises identifying a start of an entry of a new word (Dostie, paragraphs 79 and 181), and inserting, into the character subset, the most probable letters stored in the database of words for starting the new word (Dostie, paragraphs 9 and 82).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Will by the teaching of Dostie because said character subset including characters from among which, according to inference logic, **the next character** for said word is most probably selected, displaying said character subset for browsing and selecting **the next character**, adapting contents of a database by adding a new word to the database after at least one word has been entered, and identifying a start of an entry of a new word and inserting, into the character subset, the most probable letters stored in the database of words for starting the new word would enable the database or dictionary to have learning capabilities, thus providing more functionality (Dostie, paragraph 214).

With respect to claim 2, Will as modified teaches wherein said at least one usage parameter for a certain word is related to the individual number of occurrences of usage of said certain word (Will, column 13 lines 46-58).

With respect to claim 3, Will as modified teaches wherein said at least one usage parameter for a certain word is related to the individual number of occurrence of usages of said certain word and the total number of occurrence of words (Will, column 13 lines 46-61).

With respect to claim 6, Will as modified teaches further comprising storing said selected characters in a log file for determining new usage parameters, and wherein said inference logic determines said subset of most probable characters by evaluating said log file (Dostie, paragraphs 79 and 203).

With respect to claim 7, Will as modified teaches wherein words stored in said database of words are arranged in the form of a tree such that a root of the tree consists of a beginning of a word, the root being connected to nodes representing single characters on a next level such that on each level, potential characters are, in order of probability, connected to a node on a previous level whereby, as the process proceeds from the root of the tree through the nodes to a node on the last level, the characters in the nodes combine to form a word in said database of words (Dostie, Figure 4, paragraphs 88-90).

With respect to claim 8, Will as modified teaches wherein, the character subset is interlinked with the character set, in order to browse the characters on the display, such that upon browsing past the character subset, the browsing of the character set begins (Will, Figure 1B, column 6 lines 24-45; Dostie, Figures 3 and 29, paragraph 103).

With respect to claim 10, Will as modified teaches:

identifying a text being entered, wherein the characters of said text relating to a word are entered (Dostie, paragraph 79),

identifying words being stored in said database of words that are appropriate for the word being entered (Dostie, paragraph 82), and

selecting, for the character subset, a character from each appropriate probable entry to be the possibly entered text (Dostie, paragraph 104).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 25, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Dostie does not teach identifying a start of an entry of a new word or inserting the most probable letters for starting the new word into the character subset. Examiner disagrees. Dostie teaches that when a user selects the space bar or a period while constructing partial entry, the system is programmed to terminate the search for the next letter(s) and prepare for a new search based on a new partial text entry. Therefore, it is clear that Dostie teaches identifying a

start of an entry of a new word. The act of pressing a space bar, period, or other non-alphabetic characters are used to denote an implicit end of the current search, and the start of a new search (paragraph 181). Also, it is clear that the act of selecting a character identifies a start of an entry of a new word.

5. Furthermore, Dostie teaches that when a user enters at least one character, the system automatically begins searching for completion candidates and displays the completion candidates on a user interface (paragraphs 79 and 82). He further teaches that the system may also display a predicted set of next most probable characters (element 28c in Figure 29, paragraph 252). Applicant argues that the completion candidates are not equivalent to the most probable letters for starting the new word. Examiner disagrees. The claims do not explicitly define what is considered the start of a new word. For example, the first two or three letters may be considered the start of a word. Furthermore, claim 1 recites, "the most probable **letters**...for starting the new word; this implies more than one letter for starting a word. Therefore, although Dostie requires a user to enter at least one character, the character subset or completion candidates displayed may still be considered the most probable letters for starting the new word. There is no limitation in the claim that prohibits a letter(s) from being entered when identifying the most probable letters for starting a new word. If the applicant wishes all letters, including the first letter, to be predicted he/she should amend the claims to explicitly recite this.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia M. Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 - 6:30, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on 571-272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alicia M Lewis/
Examiner, Art Unit 2164
June 12, 2008

/Charles Rones/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164